

CHARACTERISTICS OF ARTS-BASED CIVIC DIALOGUE:

DEBATE VERSUS DIALOGUE

The Differences Between	
DEBATE	DIALOGUE
Assumes that there is a right answer and you have it	Assumes that many people have pieces of the answer and that together they can craft a solution
Is combative. Participants attempt to prove that the other side is wrong.	Is collaborative. Participants work together toward common understanding.
Is about winning	Explores common ground
Listens to find flaws and make counterarguments	Listens to understand and find meaning and agreement
Defends assumptions as truth	Reveals assumptions for reevaluation
Critiques the other side's position	Reexamines all positions
Defends one's own views against those of others	Admits that others' thinking can improve on one's own
Searches for flaws and weaknesses in other positions	Searches for strengths and value in others' positions
Seeks a conclusion or vote that ratifies your position	Discovers new options, not seeking closure

Yankelovich notes that this comparison is synthesized and adapted from the work of the Public Conversations Project, Study Circles Resource Center, The Common Enterprise, Educators for Social Responsibility, and Choice Point Consulting, and was prepared by Mark Gerzo.

¹ Excerpted from, Yankelovich, Daniel. The Magic of Dialogue: Transforming Conflict into Cooperation. New York: Simon & Schuster, 1999.